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## Research Questions

- What are the trends in segregation between Municipal Public School in Rio de Janeiro, for all available indicators of potential pupil disadvantage? Do the trends for each indicator represent part of an overall pattern, or are there several?


## Why to Measure Between School Segregation?

- Clustering pupils and overall level of attainment: what do we know?
- Segregation and overall educational opportunities.


## Research Design 1

## Levels of Between Schools Segregation

a) Municipal Educational System;
b) Educational Authorities in the City (10 CREs);
c) School's Polo (142).
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## What Do We Know so Far?

- 1) Both measures of Segregation Index (GS) and Dissimilarity Index (D) show same patterns, suggesting that both indicators are measuring the same phenomenon;
- 2) Each trend of segregation, using different indicators such as poverty, show distinctive trajectories over time;


## What Do We Know so Far?

- 3) Comparing different Educational Authorities the levels of segregation can vary up to $50 \%$;
- 4) The levels of segregation in all four variables were higher when comparing the segregation measured in different "school shifts" with the figures of the "school building".


## Indicators

## Correlation

## GS Parents' Educ/ <br> 0,997

D Parents' Educ
GS Ethnic Background/
0,955

D Ethinic Background

## GS Poverty/

0,978
D Poverty
GS Retention1/
0,750
D Retention1

GS Retention2/
0,941
D Retention2

## Trends of Segregation



## Trends of Segregation Educational Authorities
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## Trends of Segregation Educational Authorities



## Change GS "School Shift" and "School Building"

| 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GS <br> Poverty | $+17 \%$ | $+15 \%$ | $+15 \%$ | $+16 \%$ | $+16 \%$ | $+15 \%$ | $+18 \%$ |
| GS BP | $+9 \%$ | $+9 \%$ | $+13 \%$ | $+10 \%$ | $+11 \%$ | $+15 \%$ | $+11 \%$ |
| GSS <br> EducFS | $+11 \%$ | $+8 \%$ | $+6 \%$ | $+2 \%$ | $+7 \%$ | $+8 \%$ | $+8 \%$ |
| GS <br> Retent1 <br> GS | +52 | $+41 \%$ | $+45 \%$ | $+36 \%$ | $+41 \%$ | $+32 \%$ | $+38 \%$ |
| Retent2 | $+36 \%$ | $+34 \%$ | $+37 \%$ | $+27 \%$ | $+33 \%$ | $+27 \%$ | $+30 \%$ |

## "School Shifts" vs "School Building"



## So, What Next?

- 1) Explain the different trends of segregation observed;
- 2) Estimate "School Mix Effect" using Segregation Index;

